

First Annual Progress Report  
for the project

**Flow Tube Studies of Gas Phase Chemical Processes  
of Atmospheric Importance**

supported under  
NASA Grant Number:  
**NAG5-3947**

Prepared for

UPPER ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH PROGRAM  
NASA Headquarters  
300 E Street, S.W.  
Code YS  
Washington, DC 20546-0001  
Attn: Dr. Michael J. Kurylo, Manager

**Principal Investigator:**

Mario J. Molina  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Room 54-1814  
77 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
Phone: (617) 253-5081; Fax: (617) 258-6525  
Email: <mmolina@mit.edu>

# Flow Tube Studies of Gas Phase Chemical Processes of Atmospheric Importance

## Objective:

The objective of this project is to conduct measurements of elementary reaction rate constants and photochemistry parameters for processes of importance in the atmosphere. These measurements are being carried out under temperature and pressure conditions covering those applicable to the stratosphere and upper troposphere, using the chemical ionization mass spectrometry turbulent flow technique developed in our laboratory.

The next section summarizes our research activities during the first year of the project, and the section that follows consists of the statement of work for the second year. Additional details concerning the projects listed in the statement of work were described in our original proposal.

## Accomplishments:

### Chemical kinetics studies of the OH + ClO reaction

A study of the OH + ClO reaction has been conducted using the turbulent flow technique with high-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry for the detection of reactants and products. The OH + ClO reaction has two potential product channels:



The large background level of HCl from the ClO source made it difficult to detect small amounts of HCl produced by reaction 1b. In using OD instead, we have been able to observe the production of very small concentrations of DCl ( $\sim 10^9$  molecule  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) over the experimental reaction time ( $\sim 20$  ms) which we have positively identified as coming from reaction 1b. Using OD, we investigated the overall rate and branching ratio ( $k_{1b}/k_1$ ) of reaction 1 as a function of temperature and determined the branching ratio to be  $0.06 \pm 0.02$  at 210 K and a pressure of 100 Torr. These results have already been published in the literature [*J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **93**, 2665 (1997)].

We are currently investigating ways to reduce the HCl background from the ClO source. ClO is produced by the reaction of Cl and O<sub>3</sub> in the movable injector. Chlorine atoms are formed by sending Cl<sub>2</sub> through a microwave discharge produced by a Beenakker cavity. We have determined that a significant amount of the HCl background from the ClO source was coming from trace impurities of H<sub>2</sub> in the helium sweep gas used to flush Cl<sub>2</sub> through the microwave discharge. Although 99.999 % pure helium was used, the manufacturer specifications indicate levels of H<sub>2</sub> up to 1 ppm. We have significantly reduced the HCl background from the ClO source by installing an “Aeronex” inert gas purifier that decreases H<sub>2</sub> impurities to sub-ppb levels. We have also found that switching to an argon sweep gas, instead of helium, increases the efficiency of the Cl<sub>2</sub> microwave dissociation, making it possible to produce the same amount of ClO using less Cl<sub>2</sub>. Lower initial Cl<sub>2</sub> concentrations have also helped to reduce the HCl background in our system. Another source of HCl background was coming from the interaction of Cl atoms with the glass walls of the movable injector. We have greatly reduced this background contribution by switching to an injector made out of alumina.

We believe that the significant reductions of HCl background in our system will make it possible to determine the branching ratio of reaction 1 using OH instead of OD.

We are continuing to refine the quantitative measurement, but preliminary experiments indicate that the branching ratio for OH + ClO appears to be similar to our measured branching ratio for OD + ClO. In the next phase of our project, we will study the pressure dependence of the branching ratio for the OH + ClO reaction. We expect little, if any pressure effect, but we want to investigate it anyhow in order to better establish the reaction mechanism.

### **Chemical kinetics investigation of the ClO + NO<sub>2</sub> reaction**

The rate constant for the reaction  $\text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M}$  was measured between 150 and 600 Torr and at 298 and 213K using a turbulent flow technique with high pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry for the detection of reactants. This work represents the first experimental evaluation of this rate coefficient at temperatures below 248K. The results are in excellent agreement with the values recommended by the NASA Panel for Data Evaluation (DeMore *et al.*, JPL Publication 97-4, 1997). An article describing our work has been accepted for publication in the Journal of Physical Chemistry.

Several groups have studied this reaction relatively recently at temperatures above ~250 K, concluding that chlorine nitrate, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, is the only product, in disagreement with earlier suggestions that another isomer such as ClOONO was also formed (see, e.g., DeMore *et al.*). On the other hand, the formation of such an isomer would not have been observed in those studies if the ClO-ONO bond strength is less than ~15 kcal/mole, because at temperatures above 250 K the isomer would rapidly decompose regenerating the reactants ClO and NO<sub>2</sub>. Our results indicate that no such weakly-bound isomer of chlorine nitrate is formed at significant rates at temperatures down to 213 K, since no unexpected increase in the rate of disappearance of ClO was observed at the lower temperatures.

### Quantum yield for $O(^1D)$ production in the photolysis of $O_3$

The quantum yield for  $O(^1D)$  production in the photolysis of ozone in the 290 - 320 nm wavelength range is being investigated using our CIMS- flow tube technique. A small diameter flow tube serves as the photolysis cell and as a light pipe; it is coupled to an arc-lamp monochromator radiation source. The purpose of this apparatus is to monitor with very high sensitivity small amounts of an end product generated by  $O(^1D)$  in the flow tube, while scanning the photolysis wavelength with the monochromator.

The first phase of this process consisted in adapting one of our CIMS-flow tube apparatus to operate with very small overall gas flows. For our normal kinetics studies we utilize typically a 1"-diameter flow tube, and only a small portion of the flow is sampled, ionized and introduced into the mass spectrometer. For the photolysis project we are limited by the available radiation intensity, that is, by the photon flux; hence, we employ a flow tube with a diameter of only a few millimeters, and introduce the entire flow into the chemical ionization chamber. Furthermore, we have miniaturized this chamber using capillary tubes to transport the ions, so that a much larger fraction of the incoming reactant flow ends up being sampled by the mass spectrometer. We have successfully accomplished these modifications, and can now monitor species concentrations present in a greatly reduced flow with a sensitivity comparable to the one we have for our conventional large flow, large diameter tubes.

The first chemical system we are investigating consists of a mixture of ozone and nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ); reaction of this species with  $O(^1D)$  generates  $NO$ , which in turn reacts with the excess ozone to yield  $NO_2$ . This species can in principle be detected with very high sensitivity by means of the CIMS technique, using  $SF_6^-$  as the ion reactant. A problem with this particular approach turned out to be that trace amounts of  $NO_2$  cannot be monitored in the presence of a large excess  $O_3$ , most likely because  $NO_2^-$  reacts rapidly

with  $O_3$  to yield  $O_3^-$ . We attempted to destroy the large excess ozone with hot copper, but this process also affected significantly the trace amounts of  $NO_2$  that needed to be monitored. Hence, we decided to test various alternate ion-molecule schemes; at present we are investigating positive ion formation and detection of  $NO_2^+$ , since positive ozone ions are not stable. Other schemes to be tested include detection of  $CO_2$  in a mixture of  $O_3$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $CO$ ; the  $O(^1D)$  channel would generate  $OH$  radicals, which would in turn oxidize excess  $CO$  to  $CO_2$ .

### **Intermediates in the atmospheric photo-oxidation of hydrocarbons**

We have developed and assembled a fast-flow chemical ionization mass spectrometer apparatus designed to monitor positive ions in order to monitor intermediates in the atmospheric oxidation of hydrocarbons. This apparatus is similar to those we are employing for our gas phase reaction rate studies using mainly  $SF_6^-$  as a reactant ion; however, we modified the ion generation scheme, and are employing either a radioactive polonium source or a glow discharge source to produce  $O_2^+$  ions. Furthermore, we have been able to improve the ion extraction interface coupled to the high vacuum quadrupole mass filter chamber: we designed an ion guide, placed inside the mass-spectrometer vacuum chamber and consisting of a central wire surrounded with a tube made out of copper screen. The wire and the screen are charged at opposite polarities in order to maintain a flow of positive ions towards the center of the tube, while accelerating the negative ions towards the screen. Neutral species are pumped through the screen with a diffusion pump; the net effect is to selectively and efficiently guide ions of the desired polarity between the entrance sampling orifice and the quadrupole rods of the mass spectrometer, over a distance of the order of ten centimeters. The overall performance of this interface turned out to be very satisfactory; we are now beginning to investigate various positive ion-molecule schemes to directly monitor hydrocarbon intermediates.

## Statement of Work for the Second Year of the Project:

1. The flow tube - CIMS apparatus for photolysis studies will be further developed; several ion-molecule reaction schemes will be investigated to measure the quantum yield for  $O(^1D)$  production in the photolysis of ozone as a function of wavelength and temperature.
2. The positive ion fast-flow CIMS apparatus will be utilized to measure rate constants for reactions of peroxy radicals ( $RO_2$ ) with  $NO$ ,  $NO_2$  and/or  $HO_2$ , after suitable development of appropriate ion-molecule detection schemes.
3. The fast flow CIMS apparatus operating in the negative ion mode will be utilized to investigate the effect of water vapor on various reactions of the  $HO_2$  radical of atmospheric interest, such as  $OH + HO_2$  and  $HO_2 + HO_2$ .

## Publications:

1. Temperature dependence of the rate constant and branching ratio for the  $OH + ClO$  reaction, J.B. Lipson, M.J. Elrod, T.W. Beiderhase, L.T. Molina and M.J. Molina, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 93, 2665-2673, 1997.
2. Temperature and pressure dependence of the rate constant for the  $ClO + NO_2$  reaction, C.J. Percival, G.D. Smith, L.T. Molina, and M.J. Molina, J. Phys. Chem., in press, 1997.

## Budget For the Second Year\*

|                                                                                                 | <u>Year 2</u>    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Salaries and Wages (S&amp;W)</b>                                                             |                  |
| Principal Investigator (1 s.m.)                                                                 | 12,880           |
| Research Associate (100% of 12 mos.)                                                            | 30,900           |
| 1 Research Assistant (100%, 12 mos.)                                                            | 16,790           |
| 1 Research Assistant (50%, 12 mos.)                                                             | 8,400            |
| Project Support Staff (15%, 12 mos.)                                                            | <u>3,500</u>     |
| <b>Subtotal S&amp;W</b>                                                                         | 72,470           |
| <b>Employee Benefits (EB)</b>                                                                   |                  |
| 46.2% of S&W                                                                                    | <u>33,480</u>    |
| <b>Sub-total (S&amp;W, EB)</b>                                                                  | 105,950          |
| <b>Travel</b>                                                                                   | 1,500            |
| American Chemical Society meeting for 1 person<br>airfare - 800; subsistence - 500; misc. - 200 |                  |
| <b>Materials and Services</b>                                                                   | 9,000            |
| Liquid Nitrogen, Chemicals, Glassware,<br>Hardware, Glass and Machine Shop Service              |                  |
| <b>Publications</b>                                                                             | 1,600            |
| <b>Permanent Equipment</b>                                                                      | <u>7,000</u>     |
| <b>Total Direct Costs</b>                                                                       | 125,050          |
| <b>Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC)</b>                                                       | 118,050          |
| <b>Indirect Costs</b>                                                                           | <u>74,960</u>    |
| (63.5% of MTDC)                                                                                 |                  |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                                                                                    | <b>\$200,010</b> |

\* Please note that this is the same budget that was submitted previously with the three-year proposal and approved for the multi-year grant by NASA Headquarters.



# BUDGET SUMMARY

Year 2                      From      1/1/98                      to                      12/31/98

|                                                        | RECIPIENT'S COSTS | NASA USE ONLY |               |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                                        | A                 | B             | C             |
| 1. Direct Labor (salaries, wages, and fringe benefits) | 105,950           |               |               |
| 2. Other Direct Costs:                                 |                   |               |               |
| a. Subcontracts                                        | 0                 |               |               |
| b. Consultants                                         | 0                 |               |               |
| c. Equipment                                           | 7,000             |               |               |
| d. Supplies (M&S)                                      | 9,000             |               |               |
| e. Travel                                              | 1,500             |               |               |
| f. Other      (Publication)                            | 1,600             |               |               |
| 3. Indirect Costs                                      | 74,960            |               |               |
| 4. Other Applicable Costs                              | 0                 |               |               |
| 5.      SUBTOTAL - Estimated Costs                     | 200,010           |               |               |
| 6. Less Proposed Costs Sharing (if any)                | 0                 |               |               |
| 7. Carryover Funds (if any)                            |                   |               |               |
| a. Anticipated amount                                  |                   |               |               |
| b. Amount used to reduce budget                        | 0                 |               |               |
| 8. TOTAL ESTIMATED COST                                | 200,010           |               | xxxxxxxxxxxxx |
| APPROVED BUDGET                                        | xxxxxxxxxxxxx     | xxxxxxxxxxxxx |               |

## Instructions

1. Provide a complete budget summary sheet for year one and separate estimates for each subsequent year.
2. Recipient's estimated costs should be entered in Column A. Columns B and C are for NASA use only.  
Column C represents the approved grant budget.
3. Provide as attachments detailed computations of estimates in each cost category with narratives required to fully explain proposed costs. See Page No. 2, Attachment C (over).